



Observe that both arguments are the same in the first fundamental form in the integrals for arc length



To prove the converse, i.e. that arc-length preserving functions preserve the first fundamental form,

## Polar identity

we will need to highlight an algebraic property satisfied by the first fundamental form

# Polar identity

The idea is simple:

## Polar identity

the distributivity of the first fundamental form can be used to relate terms

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

whose arguments are different, with those whose arguments are the same.

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

Notice that since  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$ , we have only one term with different arguments

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

allowing us to express it entirely in terms of those that have both arguments the same



## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Notice that we only used two properties of the first fundamental form:

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

distributivity and symmetry

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

Luckily, these are the same properties the pull back also satisfies (easy exercise!)

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

and once again we can express the mixed term in terms of the one which has both terms equal

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^* \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ ,

All this proves that if the two forms are equal when tested on pairs of same vectors

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^* \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$   
for all  $v, w$

they will be equal even when applied to pairs where the vectors are different

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^* \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$   
for all  $v, w$



## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^* \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^* \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^* \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

So if the first fundamental forms are different, the integrands must be different



## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

We can assume this, because if it is strictly smaller, we can proceed similarly

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

and instead concludes that this difference is strictly negative

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

Remember that tangent vectors are defined as velocity vectors of some curve on the surface

### Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

so we can rephrase this in terms of a function from an interval to  $\mathbb{R}$  being strictly positive at some point

### Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

Continuity will never allow only one point to be strictly positive

### Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

some interval around it must also be strictly positive even if the interval is very small

### Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

At least in that interval the integral is forced to be positive

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

and the difference of integrals is positive



## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

and the two integrals are forced to be different

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$



## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of  $f \circ \gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2 \neq$  arc-length of  $\gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$

And we have, therefore, proved the converse

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of  $f \circ \gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2 \neq$  arc-length of  $\gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$

If  $\langle, \rangle$  and  $f^*\langle, \rangle$  fail to be equal for even one point,

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of  $f \circ \gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2 \neq$  arc-length of  $\gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$

then  $f$  must fail to preserve the arc-length of some curve

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of  $f \circ \gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2 \neq$  arc-length of  $\gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$

Alternatively, if a function preserves the arc-lengths of all curves,

## Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If,  $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  for all  $v$ , then  $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w$

If  $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$  then  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$  for some  $\mathbf{v}$

Assume,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then,  $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$  for some  $p$

Let  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$  for some  $\gamma$

So,  $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$  for some  $t_0$

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$  in some interval  $[t_1, t_2]$

So,  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of  $f \circ \gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2 \neq$  arc-length of  $\gamma$  from  $t_1$  to  $t_2$

$\mathbf{v}_1 = \dot{\gamma}_1(t)$

$\mathbf{v}_2 = \dot{\gamma}_2(t)$

$D_p(f)\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{d}{dt}(f(\gamma_1(t)))$

$D_p(f)\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{d}{dt}(f(\gamma_2(t)))$

then it also preserves the first fundamental form

# Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$





# Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$



# Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$



# Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$

**Definition.**  $\mathbf{v}$  is parallel along  $\gamma$  if  $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}(t) = 0$



# Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$

**Definition.**  $\mathbf{v}$  is parallel along  $\gamma$  if  $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}(t) = 0$

$\gamma$  is a geodesic if and only if  $\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} = 0$

In terms of a surface patch:

$$\gamma(t) = \sigma(x(t), y(t))$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \alpha(t) \sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t) \sigma_y(x(t), y(t))$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) = (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))'$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\ &= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))'\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&+ \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$  depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$  depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$  depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

**Corollary.** The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$  depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

**Corollary.** The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is a parallel vector field along  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  satisfy the following first order differential equation:

...

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$  depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

**Corollary.** The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

**Proposition.**  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is a parallel vector field along  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  satisfy the following first order differential equation:

...

**Corollary.** Any vector  $\mathbf{v}_0$  at  $\gamma(t_0)$  can be extended to exactly one tangent vector field  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  along  $\gamma$ .